



**CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE
COST ESTIMATE**

September 28, 1999

**H.R. 1791
Federal Law Enforcement Animal Protection Act of 1999**

As ordered reported by the House Committee on the Judiciary on September 22, 1999

CBO estimates that implementing H.R. 1791 would not result in any significant cost to the federal government. Because enactment of H.R. 1791 could affect direct spending and receipts, pay-as-you-go procedures would apply to the bill. However, CBO estimates that any impact on direct spending and receipts would not be significant. H.R. 1791 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act and would have no effect on the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

H.R. 1791 would make it a federal crime to harm a police dog or horse used by a federal agency. Violators would be subject to imprisonment and fines. As a result, the federal government would be able to pursue cases that it otherwise would not be able to prosecute. CBO expects that any increase in federal costs for law enforcement, court proceedings, or prison operations would not be significant, however, because of the small number of cases likely to be involved. Any such additional costs would be subject to the availability of appropriated funds.

Because those prosecuted and convicted under H.R. 1791 could be subject to criminal fines, the federal government might collect additional fines if the bill is enacted. Collections of such fines are recorded in the budget as governmental receipts (revenues), which are deposited in the Crime Victims Fund and spent in subsequent years. CBO expects that any additional receipts and direct spending would be negligible.

The CBO staff contact for this estimate is Mark Grabowicz. This estimate was approved by Peter H. Fontaine, Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis.